**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU**

Friday, 23rd day of November,2007

**Review WPMP (SR) No.125936 of 2007**
and
**W.P.No.19864 of 1997**

**W.P.No.19864 of 1997**

Between:-

Ch. Venkanna … Petitioner

and

APSRTC, rep. by its Managing
Director, Musheerabad,
Hyderabad and others … Respondents

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU**

**Review WPMP (SR) No.125936 of 2007**
and
**W.P.No.19864 of 1997**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard both sides.

The Review WPMP SR is filed seeking to review the Order made in Writ Petition No.19864 of 1997, dated 28-2-2007, whereunder the Writ Petition was disposed of in terms of the Judgment reported in **APSRTC v. P.T.RAO**[1]**.**

Now, learned counsel for the review petitioners states that **P.T.RAO**'s case (1 supra) has been considered by the Supreme Court in **DIVISIONAL MANAGER, A.P.S.R.T.C. v. P. LAKSHMOJI RAO**[2]**.** Therefore, the Writ Petition is liable to be disposed of in terms of the Judgment reported in **P. LAKSHMOJI RAO**'s case (2 supra).

In view of the above, the Order dated 28-2-2007 made in Writ Petition No.19864 of 1997 is recalled and the review petition is allowed and the Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of the Judgment reported in **P. LAKSHMOJI RAO**'s case (2 supra) as under:

> "If any of the conductors, junior to the writ petitioner in the relevant seniority list of the concerned Division/Region, have got the benefit of seniority and regularization **OR** are entitled to get the same by virtue of the judgments that have become final, then the writ petitioner, who is senior to them, shall be given the same benefit on the same principle."

23-11-2007

prk

---

[1] 1998(2) ALT 447

[2] 2004(2) SCC 433